

DEVELOPMENT OF UNIFORM HAZARD GROUND MOTION RESPONSE SPECTRA FOR A SITE

A.K.GHOSH¹ and H.S.KUSHWAHA²

SUMMARY

Traditionally, the seismic design basis ground motion has been specified by normalised response spectral shapes and peak ground acceleration (PGA). The mean recurrence interval (MRI) used to be computed for PGA only. The present work develops uniform hazard response spectra i.e. spectra having the same MRI at all frequencies for Trombay site. These results determine the seismic hazard at the given site and the associated uncertainties.

INTRODUCTION

The objective of aseismic design of power plant components and structures is to ensure safety of the plant and the people around in the event of an earthquake. Safety needs to be ensured against a set of postulated events originating at various locations, as dictated by the local geological and tectonic features and data on past earthquakes. The design basis ground motion is generally specified by normalised response spectra (also known as response spectral shapes or the dynamic amplifications factors, DAFs) for various values of damping and a PGA together with a ground motion time-history. The PGA is evaluated by empirical relations involving earthquake magnitude and the distance between the source and the site. The former is obtained by a statistical analysis of a large number of records having earthquake parameters in the range of interest and selecting a shape with an acceptable value of the probability of exceedence. These data should be drawn from sites having similar geological conditions (rock/soil).

The various uncertainties and randomness associated with the occurrence of earthquakes and the consequences of their effects on the NPP components and structures call for a probabilistic seismic risk assessment (PSRA). Seismic hazard at the site is one of the key elements of the PSRA [1].

The seismic hazard at a given site is generally quantified in terms of the probability of exceedence of the design level PGA [2] and the probability of exceedence of the specified ground motion response spectral shapes [3-7].

¹ Reactor Safety Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085; India

² Health, Safety & Environment Group, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085; India

In the approach which has traditionally been adopted the probability of exceedence of the spectral shape is with respect to the database from which it has been derived and is not related with the temporal or spatial distribution of earthquakes. The probability of exceedence of the PGA is, however, evaluated considering the spatial and temporal distribution of earthquakes.

The new SRP [8] and Regulatory Guide [9] of USNRC recommend development of unnormalised response spectra. USNRC [9] further proposes to carry out a probabilistic safety hazard analysis (PSHA) based on uniform hazard response spectra (UHRS).

The present work aims to develop UHRS i.e. response spectra having the same mean recurrence interval (MRI), or equivalently, the same probability of exceedence in a specified span of time at all frequencies for Trombay site. The present paper develops these spectra considering linear and point sources of earthquakes. It is further recognised that the predicted seismic hazard can vary with various parameters involved. Numerical results have been presented to show this variability. These results will help to determine the seismic hazard at the given site and the associated uncertainties.

THEORY

Cornell [2] has presented a model for evaluating the MRI or the probability of exceedence, P of a specified value of PGA. Ghosh et al. [10] considering aerial source distribution extended the method for more generalised forms for the correlation for PGA. This work was extended to develop UHRS considering a uniform aerial source and line and point sources of earthquakes [11,12]. In this report, a similar methodology is applied to determine a uniform hazard response spectrum.

Seismic hazard analysis

The seismic hazard analysis presented by Cornell [2] is based on the peak ground acceleration $(a_p \)$ which is assumed to be of the form

$$a_{p} = b_{1} \exp(b_{2} M) R^{-b_{3}}$$
(1)

where b_1, b_2 and b_3 are constants, M is the earthquake magnitude and R is the hypocentral distance.

It has been observed [13] that PGA predicted by relations of the type given by equation (1) does not agree very well with observations particularly for smaller values of R and a distance correction term (D) has been considered by many workers.

Several correlations are available for defining the peak ground acceleration (a) for horizontal motion each developed from a particular data set, and therefore, best suited for interpolation within a particular range of parameters. Two widely used forms for PGA are:

$$a = b_1 \exp(b_2 M) (R+D)^{-b_3}$$
 (2)

$$a = b_1 \, 10^{b M} \, (R + D)^{-b}_3 \tag{3}$$

where M is the magnitude, R is the distance and D is a correction term to account for 'zero distance'. For any application, an equation has to be chosen that is best suited to a given source-site combination and the range of parameters under consideration.

Attenuation relation for spectral acceleration

The present regulatory documents [8,9] require the ground motion to be presented as the unnormalised response spectrum itself without scaling it to PGA. Attenuation relation has been developed for the unnormalised response spectrum [11,12].

The response spectral acceleration is assumed to be of the same form as given by equation (2) i.e.

$$S = S(M, R, \zeta, T) = b_1 \exp(b_2 M) (R+D)^{-b}_3$$
(4)

where M is the magnitude and R is the hypocentral distance. D is a distance correction factor, ζ is the value of damping and T is the period for which the response spectrum is being evaluated. The constants, b_1 , b_2 , b_3 depend on ζ and T. McGuire [14] has used a form similar to equation (3). As has been shown by Ghosh et al. [10] equations (2) and (3) are equivalent.

Line source model

Earthquakes occur along faults, which are generally linear features or represented as ones (lineaments). It is assumed that earthquakes are equally likely to occur anywhere along the length of a fault (lineament).

The number of earthquake of magnitude greater than or equal to M occurring annually is given by Richter's equation [15]

$$\log_{10} N_M = a - bM \tag{5}$$

a and b for a given region are determined from the earthquake occurrence records of that region.

Considering the effect of all possible values of the focal distances, the cumulative probability $P[S \ge S_d]$ is obtained.

$$P(S \ge S_d) = \int_d^{r_0} P[S \ge S_d | R = r] f(r) dr$$
$$= C S_d^{\frac{-\beta}{b_2}} G$$
(6)

 $f_R(r)$ the probability density function of finding an earthquake at a radius r, and G for various types of fault orientation have been presented in [12].

$$C = e^{\beta M_0} b_1^{\frac{\beta}{b_2}}$$

and $\beta = b \ln 10$.

Equation (6) yields the probability that the spectral acceleration (for given values of damping and period) at site, S will exceed a certain value, S_d , given that an event of interest ($M \ge M_0$) occurs anywhere on the fault.

Next, we consider the random number of occurrences in any time period. It is assumed that the occurrences of earthquake follow a Poisson arrival process with average occurrence rate of v per year [2]. Then the number of events, \overline{N} , of interest occurring in the area in a time interval of length t years is distributed as :

$$P_{\overline{N}}(n) = P(\overline{N}=n) = \frac{e^{-\nu t}(\nu t)^{n}}{n!}; n=0,1,2,....$$
(7)

If certain events are Poisson arrivals with average arrival rate ν and if each of these events is independently, with probability p, a special event, then these special events are Poisson arrivals with average annual arrival rate p ν . The probability, p_{i} , that any event of interest $M \ge M_0$ will be a special event is given by equation (6).

Thus the number of times, N, that the spectral acceleration (for given values of damping and period) at the site will exceed S_d in an interval of time t has the probability:

$$P_{N}(n) = P(N=n | S \ge S_{d}) = \frac{e^{-p_{i}Vt}(p_{i}Vt)^{n}}{n!}; n=0,1,2,\dots.$$
(8)

Of particular interest is the probability distribution of S_{max} , the maximum spectral acceleration (at given damping and period) over an interval of time t.

$$p[S_{\max} \leq S_{d}] = p[N = 0 | S \geq S_{d}]]$$

$$= e^{-p_{i}vt}$$
(9)

Letting t = 1 year,

$$p[S_{\max} \le S_d] = F_{ap} = e^{-P_i v}$$

$$= \exp(-v C G S^{-\beta/b_2})$$
(10)

The annual probability of exceedence of $S_{max} > S_d$ is then

$$1 - F_{ap} = 1 - \exp(-Cv G S_d^{-\beta/b_2})$$

≈ 1 - [1 - Cv G S_d^{-\beta/b_2}]

$$= C \nu G S_d^{-\beta/b_2}$$
(11)

The mean recurrence interval (T_y) of the spectral acceleration S_d is then the reciprocal of $\,$ (1 - $F_{ap}\,$) i.e.,

$$T_{y} = \frac{1}{C \nu G} S_{d}^{\frac{\beta}{b_{2}}}$$
(12)

Then equations (9) and (12) may be used to obtain the probability of exceedence of Sd in a given span of t years as

$$P=1-\exp(-t/T_{v}) \tag{13}$$

The seismic hazard at a site is quantified by the probability (P/S >S_d) and T_y the uncertainties in these quantities due to variations in the correlations for spectral acceleration and uncertainties in the seismic source and occurrence models i.e. a and b, depth of focus, h.

Point Source Model

When there are clusters of earthquakes away from the site, each cluster could be modelled as a point source of earthquakes. In case of a single point source there is no randomness with respect to the location of the earthquake, hence for a specified value of spectral acceleration the magnitude is also fixed by the chosen correlation for spectral acceleration. The probability of exceedence of the specified value of spectral acceleration is therefore decided by the temporal distribution of earthquakes.

$$P[S \ge S_d) | d=r] = C S_d^{\frac{-\beta}{b_2}} G$$
(14)

where
$$G = (r+D)^{\frac{-\beta b_3}{b_2}}$$
 (15)

Multiple Line and Point Sources

When there are a number of line or point sources the probability of non-exceedence of a specified value of spectral acceleration is obtained by multiplying the probability of non-exceedence of the specified value of spectral acceleration from each of the sources i.e.,

$$p[S_{\max} \le S_d] = \prod_{i=1}^{NS} p[S_{\max} \le S_d]_{from the ith source}$$

$$=\exp[-\sum_{i=1}^{NS} C_{i} S_{d}^{\frac{-\beta_{i}}{b_{2i}}} G_{i} v_{i}]$$
(16)

The above equation is the most general equation for any number of sources. Assuming C, b_2 and β are constants, the above equation simplifies to the following.

$$p[S_{\max} \le S_d] = \exp[-(C \ S_d^{-\frac{\beta}{b_2}}) \sum_{i=1}^{NS} G_i \ v_i$$
(17)

The implication of the above assumption is that 'a' can vary with the source thus allowing different earthquake potential for each source, but 'b' and the constants b_1 , b_2 , b_3 remain unchanged for the entire region under consideration.

When there are NS sources associated with the parameters $(a_i \text{ and } b)$ for the Richter's equation and the parameters are (a,b) for the region as a whole, then in order to have the same number of earthquakes considering either the ensemble of the sources or the region as a whole, the following equality holds.

$$10^a = \sum_i 10^{a_i}$$

i.e. if all the sources have the same value of a_i then

$$a_i = a - \log_{10} (NS) \tag{18}$$

PRESENT STUDY

The present study uses 144 horizontal acceleration records from rock sites to develop attenuation relation for response spectral acceleration [11,12]. The range of magnitude is generally from 4.1 to 8.1 and there are few records of magnitude lower than 4.1. The distance from the fault varied generally about from about 6 km to 125 km. The salient features of the accelerograms are given in [12]. The digitised accelerograms were obtained on magnetic tapes from the World Data Center [16]. In these data, the original accelerograms have been band-pass filtered between 0.07 Hz and 25 Hz and base line corrections have been made. Analysis has been carried out with the recorded accelerograms representing the free-field conditions. The geological conditions of the recording sites, identified by the name and number of the recording station, are verified from published sources.

It has been observed that the response spectra of the two horizontal components recorded at the same location are often significantly different. This may be attributed to the orientation of the instrument with respect to the fault. To ensure conservatism, the attenuation for spectral acceleration (equation (4)) at any frequency was developed by selecting only the higher of the two horizontal spectral values of the records at a particular site. The attenuation relations thus developed were used for the development of uniform hazard response spectra.

Sr.	Magnitude (M) Range	No. of Earthquakes in this		
No.	$M_0 \leq M < M_1$	Range		
		KOYNA	OTHERS	
1	3.0 - 3.5	0	1	
2	3.5 - 4.0	14	10	
3	4.0 - 4.5	32	15	
4	4.5 - 5.0	23	3	
5	5.0 - 5.5	19	10	
6	5.5 - 6.0	7	3	
7	6.0 - 6.5	3	0	
8	6.5 - 7.0	2	1	

TABLE-1 EARTHQUAKES AROUND TROMBAY: Data from Global Sources

EARTHQUAKES AROUND TROMBAY: DATA FROM GBA

Sr.	Magnitude (M) Range	No. of Earthquakes in this		
No.	$M_0 \leq M < M_1$	Range		
		KOYNA	OTHERS	
1	- 3.0	2	0	
2	3.0 - 3.5	23	5	
3	3.5 - 4.0	82	7	
4	4.0 - 4.5	43	5	
5	4.5 - 5.0	17	2	
6	5.0 - 5.5	1	0	

The geological, tectonic and seismic study for the site was earlier carried out to develop the design basis Ground motion [17]. Each of these lineaments in the 300km. radius circles around the site has been considered as a line source. An earlier study [10] has shown that the influence of earthquake sources beyond 150km has insignificant effect on the seismic hazard.

Earthquake data for the period 1504-2001 AD has been obtained from various catalogues ([18], for example) available as published literature (global sources). Data have also been obtained from the Gauribidanur Seismic Array (GBA) of Bhabha Atomic Research Centre period for the period 1977-1995 AD [19]. Broadly the data from both the sources can be viewed as (i) those belonging to Koyna and (ii) others. The first recorded earthquake from Koyna is in the year 1965. A summary of these data is presented in Table-1. A least square fit of the data was carried out (see equation 5) and the constant of the equation ('a' value) was increased to obtain a modified fit to envelope.

The 'a' and 'b' values obtained from [20] yields a rather unconservative value of the occurrence rates of earthquakes in the Koyna region. Based on all the data a realistic set of values have been used for obtaining the UHRS which is close to the least square fit and conservative for the magnitude range M > 6 which produces acceleration in the range of interest for design. The least square analysis showed a large

variation of 'a' values obtained from the earthquake data from global sources and GBA (see Table-2). The variation of 'a' for Koyna earthquakes was, however, not significantly large. The 'a' and 'b' values obtained from various studies are presented in Table-2.

TABLE -2

Data	Koyna		Others		Remarks
	а	b	а	b	
Global	2.63	0.505	1.10	0.505	Least Square Fit data
					modified to envelope the
					observed values
GBA	2.794	0.505	1.785	0.505	Least Square Fit data
					modified to envelope the
					observed values
Ref [20]	2.1016	0.5989	2.1016	0.5989	
	2.8	0.5989	2.1016	0.5989	Base values used in analysis
					(see Fig. 2)

MAGNITUDE – FREQUENCY RELATIONSHIPS

The Koyna earthquakes occur in a small cluster. These earthquakes are assumed to be generated from a point source. The 'a' and 'b' values for all the line sources are assumed to be the same.

The analysis has been carried out considering a maximum magnitude of 6.5 for earthquakes occurring in the region under study [20].

NUMERICAL RESULTS

Usually, the value of T_y for PGA is required to be of the order of hundred years for the operating basis earthquake (OBE or S₁) and of the order of ten thousand years for the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE or S₂) [21]. Fig. 1 shows the variation of MRI and the probability of exceedence in 50 years as a function of PGA. The 'a' and 'b' values for various sources are the reference values given in Table-2.

Figures 2a - 2c present the UHRS for the base values of 'a' and 'b' for various values of MRI and the probability of exceedence. The spectral acceleration at any frequency is higher as the probability of exceedence reduces.



DISCUSSION

From the earlier studies [11,12] it has been observed that for a single source, as the distance from the fault, Δ increases the value of the spectral acceleration for a fixed MRI reduces. Similarly, for a fixed MRI, the spectral acceleration reduces with increasing value of l, the length of the fault. At smaller values of l, all the earthquakes are concentrated in a small zone around the site. So for a given value of MRI, the spectral acceleration will be more than that when earthquakes are likely to occur over a wider range of distance. As l increases, the results tend to become asymptotic. Distant earthquakes affect motion in the long period (range 0.5s - 2s).



As one moves away from the site, the same spectral acceleration at the site would be required to be generated by an earthquake of a higher magnitude. Thus the value of MRI for the specified spectral acceleration will be higher.

A higher value of 'a' or a lower value of 'b' while the other remains unchanged would imply a higher value of M, leading to a higher value of spectral acceleration.

It is seen that generally the spectral acceleration for the point source is higher since the earthquakes occur at the same distance, Δ whereas the earthquake source distance is greater than or equal to Δ for a line source. However, for longer periods the two spectra appear to be closer. This is due to contribution of the distant earthquakes to the long period region of the response spectra.

These results will be useful in carrying out the seismic probabilistic safety assessment of the plants at these sites.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kennedy RP and Ravindra MP. "Seismic Fragilities for Nuclear Power Plant Hazard Studies", Nuclear Engineering and Design, 1984; 79, 47-68.
- 2. Cornell, C.A. "Engineering Seismic Hazard Analysis", Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 1968; 59, 5, 1583-1606.
- **3.** U.S.A.E.C. "Design Response Spectra for Seismic Design of Nuclear Power Plants", Regulatory Guide 1.60, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Directorate of Regulatory Standards, 1973.
- **4.** Seed HB, Ugas C and Lysmer J. "Site Dependent Spectra for Earthquake Resistant Design", Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 1976; 66, 221-243.
- 5. Ghosh AK, Muralidharan, N and Sharma RD. "Spectral Shapes for Accelerograms Recoded at Rock Sites", Report B.A.R.C.-1314, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, Mumbai, 1986.
- **6.** Ghosh AK and Sharma RD. "Spectral Shapes for Accelerograms Recorded at Soil Sites", Report B.A.R.C.-1365, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, Mumbai, 1987.
- 7. Ghosh AK, Rao KS and Kushwaha HS. "Development of ResponseSpectral Shapes and Attenuation Relations from Accelerograms Recorded on Rock and Soil Sites", Report BARC/1998/016, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, 1998.
- 8. U.S.N.R.C. Vibratory Ground Motion, Standard Review Plan 2.5.2, NUREG- 800, Rev.3., 1997.
- 9. U.S.N.R.C. "Identification and Characterisation of Seismic Sources and Determination of Safe Shutdown Earthquake Ground Motion", Regulatory Guide 1.165. ,1997.
- Ghosh AK and Kushwaha HS. "Sensitivity of Seismic Hazard to Various Parameters and Correlations for Peak Ground Acceleration", Report BARC/1998/025, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, 1998.
- 11. Ghosh AK and Kushwaha HS. "Development of Uniform Hazard Response Spectra from Accelerograms Recorded on Rock Sites", Report BARC/2000/E/014, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, 2000.
- 12. Ghosh AK and Kushwaha HS. "Development of Uniform Hazard Response Spectra for Rock Sites Considering Line and Point Sorces of Earthquakes", Report BARC/2001/E/031, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India, 2001.
- 13. Campbell KW. "Strong Motion Attenuation Relations : A Ten Year Perspective, Earthquake Spectra", 1985; 1(4), 759-803.
- 14. McGuire RK. "Seismic Design Spectra and Mapping Procedure using Hazard Analysis based Directly on Oscillator Response", Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 1977; 5, 211-234.
- 15. Richter CF. "Seismic Regionalisation", Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 1959; 49, 123-162.
- 16. World Data Centre. "Catalogue of Seismographs and Strong Motion Records", Report SE-6, Solid Earth Geophysics Division, Environmental Data Service, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A, 1985.
- 17. Ghosh AK and Banerjee, D.C. "Earthquake Design Basis for Tarapur Site", Internal Report, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre; March 1990
- 18. Chandra U. "Earthquakes of Peninsular India, Seismotectonic Study". Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, 1977, 67(5), 1387-1413
- Gangrade, BK et al. "Earthquakes from Peninsular India: Data from Gauribidanur Seismic Array" Reports BARC –1347, 1987; BARC – 1385, 1987; BARC – 1454, 1989; BARC/1992/E/024, 1992; BARC/1994/E/040, 1994; BARC/1996/E/024, 1996. Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Government of India.
- 20. Ravi Kumar, M. and Bhatia, S.C. "A New Seismic Hazard Map for the Indian Plate Region Under the Global Seismic Hazard Assessment Programme", Current Science, 999, 77(3), 447-453.
- **21.** IAEA. "Earthquakes and Associated Topics in Relation to Nuclear Power Plant Siting: A Safety Guide", Safety Guide 50-SG-S1, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1979.